

MEETING MINUTES

COALITION BOARD

SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL PLANNING COALITION

May 26, 2005

In attendance:

Trustee Denise Brodsky, CHAIR, Clark County School District
Mayor Robert Ferraro, City of Boulder City
Commissioner Lynette Boggs McDonald, Clark County
Councilman Lawrence Weekly, City of Las Vegas
Mayor Oscar Goodman, City of Las Vegas
Councilwoman Stephanie Smith, City of North Las Vegas
Councilman Shari Buck, City of North Las Vegas

Agenda Item 1. Call to order; notice of agenda conformance with the Open Meeting Law requirements.

The meeting of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition Board was called to order by Trustee Denise Brodsky, Chair, Clark County School District, at 3:38 p.m., on Thursday, May 26, 2005, in City Council Chambers, City of North Las Vegas, 2200 Civic Center Drive, North Las Vegas, Nevada.

The agenda for the May 26, 2005, meeting was duly posted in compliance with the Nevada Open Meeting Law requirements.

Agenda Item 2. Roll Call.

All members of the SNRPC Coalition Board, as listed above, were present except for Councilman Steve Kirk, City of Henderson, Councilman Amanda Cyphers, City of Henderson and Commissioner Myrna Williams, Clark County.

Agenda Item 3. Approval of the agenda for May 26, 2005.

A motion was made and seconded to approve the agenda for the May 26, 2005, meeting. The motion was approved unanimously.

Agenda Item 4. Approval of the minutes for January 27, 2005.

A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes from the January 27, 2005, meeting. The motion was approved unanimously.

Agenda Item 5. Approval of the minutes for March 24, 2005.

A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes from the March 24, 2005, meeting. The motion was approved unanimously.

Agenda Item 6. Consent Agenda. Recommended action – Receive and approve the consent items.

- 6(a). Receive a letter from Governor Guinn's office regarding SNRPC Resolution 08-2005.
- 6(b). Receive a report on the BLM Spring 2006 land nominations from the Federal Lands Disposal Subcommittee.
- 6(c). Receive the SNRPC Expense Report.
- 6(d). Receive and approve the proposed SNRPC Committee on Homelessness Budget for FY 2005-2006.
- 6(e). Receive and approve a recommendation for a Special SNRPC Combined Workshop of the Coalition Board, Technical Committee and the Committee on Homelessness on June 16, 2005 at the City of Henderson Convention Center.
- 6(f). Receive and approve a recommendation for a Special SNRPC LUTAQ Workshop of the Coalition Board and Technical Committee on August 25, 2005 at the City of North Las Vegas.
- 6(g). Receive and approve a request for an SNRPC Awards Program.

Councilman Lawrence Weekly, City of Las Vegas, requested that items 6(d) and 6(e) be pulled for update and clarification, and Trustee Denise Brodsky, Clark County School District, agreed that she'd like discussion on them as well.

A motion was made and seconded to approve consent agenda items 6(a), (b), (c), (f) and (g) holding items (d) and (e) for additional discussion. The motion was approved unanimously.

Paula Haynes-Green, Regional Homelessness Coordinator, gave a report on item 6(d) which is the proposed SNRPC Committee on Homelessness (CoH) Budget for FY 2005-2006 and stated that the budget had been approved by the CoH on March 17, 2005. She discussed the budget's breakdown of regional commitment for homeless services and the share by jurisdiction and stated the fiscal agent was Clark County so once the inter-local agreements were executed and approved by the respective jurisdictions' Boards then Clark County would invoice each jurisdiction individually. She also discussed a chart illustrating a breakdown of money being spent on homelessness, including services provided by Clark County for medical services and rental assistance among others, as well as a more detailed breakdown of use per jurisdiction; and she stated that the two things included in the funding chart were general fund money and federal entitlement fund money.

Councilman Weekly asked for an estimation of how much money of the budget had been spent on the F and Wilson Street Project; and Ms. Haynes-Green responded that that amount was not reflected in any way in the budget because of the extraordinary circumstances but that approximately \$28,000 was spent on rental assistance, almost \$15,000 on staffing time and more on rentals of trailers and computers, for an estimated total of \$40,000 to \$50,000. Councilman Weekly stated that, in the future, he would like to see that broken down and given to the Board because it was important for everyone to have a clear reflection of jurisdictional contribution, especially with the impending issue of shade.

Mayor Oscar Goodman, City of Las Vegas, commented that during the six years he's been in office, he feels that real progress has been made in addressing the issues of the homeless, in particular in making it into a regional problem, and yet millions of dollars are being spent without knowing whether objectives are being accomplished (i.e. getting the mentally ill off the street) and if not, why not (i.e. resistance by the homeless, inadequate man power and/or lack of facilities). He spoke of a recent visit to one of the faith-based groups where free lunch was being distributed, and he thought that would be a perfect place to have social workers offer service; and he suggested to the City that university students be tapped to assist with working with them

rather than only feeding them, thus enabling them to continue their homeless lifestyles which ultimately doesn't accomplish anything. Therefore, he thought there really needed to be benchmarks involving what is trying to be accomplished and whether there is failure or success regarding it, as well as including firm expectations and when they are expected to be fulfilled.

Trustee Brodsky asked when the next Board meeting was scheduled, and Ms. Haynes-Green responded that the next one was the joint meeting set for June 16. Ms. Haynes-Green said part of the reason for the joint meeting, item 6(e), was to bring everyone together to start talking about the benchmarks and what the plan is.

Another reason was to open dialog about the budget, and she stated that the budget was broken down the way it was so people could see a picture of how much money they were spending and to help determine if it was being spent appropriately, resulting in desired outcomes, or did the region, as a whole, need to look at re-directing its spending.

Mayor Goodman commented that there was an air of immediacy about this issue because "millions and millions and millions of dollars were put in that direction" only to be shown and told by the press that nothing was being done about these problems.

Trustee Brodsky asked who was setting the agenda for the June 16 meeting, and Ms. Haynes-Green responded that the Committee on Homelessness was. Trustee Brodsky asked Mayor Goodman and Councilman Weekly if there was a particular item they would like included on the June 16 agenda, and Mayor Goodman stated that he would like to have a specific agenda item regarding "whether we were properly getting our 'bang for our buck' as far as addressing the issues of homelessness." Mayor Robert Ferraro, City of Boulder City, agreed that he would also like to see Mayor Goodman's suggestion incorporated into the agenda.

Councilman Weekly stated he would like to see the day labor issue explored at the June 16 meeting because it has grown over the past five years and now has a significant impact to the entire City, especially since many of the day laborers are homeless. He has been working with the Glorified Employees Association, which is made up of many businesses along the Bonanza Corridor, and they will be asking Governor Guinn to possibly re-enact a day labor facility located at Washington and A Street, ensuring that it is staffed by bilingual interpreters; as well as establishing a partnership with Catholic Charities and the Mexican Consulate to get a non-profit to assist with this, similar to a successful program that is being explored out of California.

Councilwoman Stephanie Smith, City of North Las Vegas, commented on a successful Phoenix (AZ) Model that she knew about from a project she had seen presented during school last year and recommended review of it.

Trustee Brodsky directed Ms. Haynes-Green to work with Edward James, SNRPC Regional Planner, to develop an agenda; and she asked the Coalition members to contact Mr. James with any other specific items, as needed, for inclusion. No additional motion or action was required on this item, and Trustee Brodsky stated that items 6(d) and 6(e) are accepted; but she instructed that the issues of day labor, funding and benchmarking progress with regards to homelessness and looking into the Phoenix Model be included as parts of dialog to discuss on that day (June 16).

Agenda Item 7. Receive the SNRPC Proposed FY 2005-06 Work Plan and Budget. Recommended action – Receive and approve the proposed SNRPC FY 2005-06 Work Plan and Budget.

Edward Probyn James, SNRPC Regional Planner, gave a presentation of a proposed SNRPC Work Plan and Budget for fiscal year 2005-2006. He discussed the four main categories of the Work Plan which are: Carryover Tasks (i.e. LUTAQ, etc.), Ongoing Tasks (i.e. Population Forecasting, etc.), New Tasks (i.e. Annual Report as both hardcopy and posted to the SNRPC website, Regional Economic Study, LUTAQ Phase II, etc.) and General Administrative Tasks; and he stated that the Budget is less than last year with no

split in allocation for a City of Boulder City adjustment, so the allocation for each entity with two members on the Board (City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, City of Henderson and Clark County) is \$46,223.97 and one-member Board members (City of Boulder City and Clark County School District) each have allocations of \$23,111.98.

Councilwoman Stephanie Smith, City of North Las Vegas, asked whether the Regional Economic Study had been approved yet, and Mr. James responded that it had not and was an upcoming agenda item for discussion; so she thought that part of the Budget should be “trailed” until after that discussion, but she felt strongly about not spending \$100,000 when each entity already had an Economic Development staff. Councilwoman Smith also commented on the proposed 8% increase to Mr. James’ salary, especially when the (his) review hadn’t even been completed yet, and 8% seemed unusually high for anyone.

Mr. James responded that while it (his salary increase) did add up to 8%, it was a budget item, whether spent or not, similar to other items that are not spent, like the website amount from last year, which then turn into carryover. Councilwoman Smith stated that she understood that principle, but she was uncomfortable having “it as an open door” and thought it was extremely high, overly optimistic and was inappropriate in the Budget, even if it wasn’t spent.

Commissioner Lynette Boggs McDonald, Clark County, commented that, regarding salaries, she thought it was standard practice to “put in the potential max” knowing that it was dependent on an assessment and determination, so that the money was available in the event an employee (i.e. the Secretary or anyone else) needed to be rewarded, so the Budget didn’t ultimately need to be augmented.

Councilwoman Smith asked why Mr. James’ salary was going up when the (Coalition) Secretary’s was going down; and Mr. James responded that the Secretary who resigned at the end of the year was at the top of the identified pay scale, and the current Secretary started at the normal pay scale and would be rising each year from there. She commented that, in light of what Commissioner Boggs McDonald stated, the Secretary’s salary should remain at the highest maximum in the event it needed to be adjusted, and she didn’t understand the logic of “there going by the book, and here budgeting for ‘optimistic euphoria’.”

Trustee Denise Brodsky, Clark County School District, asked if there were significant changes or any requests for additional dollars to any Budget approved at this meeting whether they would have to be approved by the Board first; and Mr. James responded that he would not get any more (salary) than what the Board authorized, and regarding the Economic Study, even if the Board decided to approve it today, it would still come back before the Board for approval and execution of the contract. In the event it was decided that it was not something that was wanted, then it could be voted down at that time.

Mayor Oscar Goodman, City of Las Vegas, commented that he thought the Board was mandated to submit a Budget and asked if it needed to be approved at this meeting; and Trustee Brodsky confirmed that it did.

Trustee Brodsky asked if this was the preliminary budget and when the final Budget was to be approved; and Mr. James responded this was the final budget and, according to the law, the assessments must be submitted to each member entity by July 1, 2005.

Commissioner Boggs McDonald stated that Clark County wanted to know, up front, what its financial burden was going to be to this organization (SNRPC).

Rob Warhola, Clark County (SNRPC Legal Council), recommended creating contingency categories, similar to what was under the Operations category. He recommended creating a contingency under Staff, leaving the salary what it is and placing the extra \$11,000 there, and creating a Work Program contingency and placing the \$100,000 for the Regional Economic Study there. Councilwoman Smith suggested trailing it until after discussion on the study because she hated leaving \$100,000 if the study wasn’t going to occur, although the

salary idea was fine to her.

Mayor Robert Ferraro, City of Boulder City, stated that he was comfortable with the way it (the Budget) was, he didn't have any problems with what had been proposed and he made a motion to approve it (the Budget) as submitted. Commissioner Boggs McDonald seconded the motion, and there was approval by all members except Councilwoman Smith and Councilman Shari Buck, City of North Las Vegas.

Mr. Warhola stated that the Budget approval required a Super Majority vote, which would be unanimous approval by all seven members present (7 out of 10 member approvals).

Trustee Brodsky asked if the previous suggestion to create contingency categories for the two items in question (salary and Regional Economic Study) would be agreeable as a compromise to the Board Council; and Councilwoman Smith made a motion requesting that discussion move to the Agenda Item that was going to cost \$100,000 (Agenda Item 9 – Receive a proposed Scope of Services and SNRPC Workgroup Roster for a Regional Economic Study [RES]) so a decision could be made whether it needed to be included in the Budget at all, and then come back and approve the Budget. The motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

After the motion for Agenda Item 9 was seconded and unanimously approved, a motion was made and seconded to approve the Budget with the two exceptions of (1) establishing a contingency fund for the \$100,000 for Phase I of the Regional Economic Study as well as (2) establishing a second contingency fund for the proposed difference in the Regional Planner's current salary and the proposed increase to it, pending the outcome of the Regional Planner's performance review. Mr. James asked if the labels in the Budget could be a general contingency under Staff and a general contingency under Work Program/Professional Services. There was agreement by the Board, and the motion was approved unanimously.

Agenda Item 9. Receive a proposed Scope of Services and SNRPC Workgroup Roster for a Regional Economic Study (RES). Recommended action – Receive and approve the Scope of Services and authorize the SNRPC RES Workgroup to prepare an RFP, select appropriate consultants, interview consultants and recommend a consultant and contract for professional services to the Coalition Board.

Before the presentation and discussion on this agenda item began, Mayor Oscar Goodman, City of Las Vegas, asked how it was determined whether a vote had to be Super Majority, and Rob Warhola, Clark County (SNRPC Legal Council), responded that any decision that had a monetary impact required a Super Majority approval. Mayor Goodman asked if that meant unanimous approval for all expenditures, and Mr. Warhola responded that Super Majority required 2/3 approval which was 7 out of 10 members, but since there were only 7 members present, it had to be unanimous during this meeting.

Bob Cooper, City of Henderson, gave a presentation and report on a proposed Scope of Services for a Regional Economic Study (RES). He discussed that during the Coalition's Growth Summit in 2004, one of the top priorities identified was a Regional Economic Growth Study; and over the past three months, staff members from the entities' Economic Development departments as well as the NDA and the Commission on Economic Development have been collaborating on researching topics like the economy of Southern Nevada and employment clusters so that effective strategies can be developed regarding demographics, trends, industry clusters that already prosper as well as those that could prosper, financial clusters, etc.

Mayor Robert Ferraro, City of Boulder City, asked what the timeline of the proposal was, and Mr. Cooper responded that it would be an estimated six months from the hiring of the consultant through the research, which is Phase I. After the completion of Phase I, the Board would review the research results and decide and vote on the approval of Phase II, which would be the development of the actual strategy(ies) based on interpretation and/or emphasis of the research results. Mr. Cooper stated that the only cluster study of the

Valley that has already been done is on Medical and Healthcare, which was started approximately two years ago.

Mayor Ferraro asked who would or could be considered as a consultant; and Mr. Cooper responded that upon recommendations from the Workgroup members, a list of approximately 10 organizations, both local and nation-wide, had been compiled.

Mayor Goodman commented that he is a member of the NDA (Nevada Development Authority), and he was under the impression that they have already done this study. Edward James, SNRPC Regional Planner, stated that Somer (Hollingsworth, NDA President) was part of the Workgroup, and Mayor Goodman asked if he (Mr. Hollingsworth) had identified that this work had already been done. Mr. James responded that he had asked most of the Workgroup members, including Mr. Hollingsworth, to identify gaps in data for the Region, and this was one of them.

Commissioner Lynette Boggs McDonald, Clark County, stated that she served on the NDA Board with Mayor Goodman and that they had recently been presented with data and material that dealt with key industries that the Community should be focusing on, especially as it relates to the diversification of the economy; and she didn't think it was necessary to do an analysis that would show dependency on the gaming industry or that, as the cost of living increases, there will be a big income gap between salaries and the cost of housing. She stated that she would like to hear specifically what was missing from the existing data and "what, exactly, we are trying to find out" before spending \$100,000-\$200,000 on another study that tells that the economy needs to be diversified or that the Valley needs to bring in industries that will provide jobs paying higher wages, such as healthcare, communications, motion pictures or high-tech.

Mr. James responded that the Workgroup members had discussed and were very clear on not duplicating information and that they were not to intrude upon the activities of the local jurisdictions or rehash anything that had already been identified; and it was his understanding from the staff members that the clusters that had been listed had not been clearly identified in the Valley and had little to do with dependency on gaming, for example.

Commissioner Boggs McDonald stated that she had already seen studies identifying transportation, healthcare, communications and high-technology as areas that need to be aggressively pursued in Southern Nevada, and she reiterated that she wanted to know what differentiated this study from what had already been done.

Trustee Denise Brodsky, Clark County School District, agreed that those were fair questions; and Councilwoman Stephanie Smith, City of North Las Vegas, suggested that City Managers or Economic Development staff come forward to answer questions and help explain the gap(s).

Mayor Goodman commented that he has been asking for five years for a "break-even" base salary figure that is needed in the Community so it's not a burden on local government, and he can't see having another study done until a determination is made regarding what type of people are wanted in the Community so they won't be a burden.

Trustee Brodsky asked if there was anyone present who could address any of these issues; and Lesa Coder, Clark County, responded that she knew the NDA had identified target industries, but she hadn't seen anything in writing that was cohesive and tied everything together.

Trustee Brodsky commented that she would like to see an economic study on the impact of early childhood education and the overall economic impact it has on the community, which is a topic currently being emphasized across the country.

Gregory Rose, City of North Las Vegas, explained that when the (SNRPC) Technical Committee considered this item they were unaware that the NDA had performed similar studies in the past, so he recommended that the Board allow the project to continue but to “zero it out” and give the Technical Committee an opportunity to review the information that the NDA has and determine what was needed.

Commissioner Boggs McDonald stated that the NDA wasn't the only one to have reports, and Dr. Keith Schwere with the Center for Business and Economic Research at UNLV also had compiled substantial analysis as it relates to types of industries, wages and gaps, so she thought “we need to get a better handle on what all has already been analyzed.”

Trustee Brodsky stated that the Coalition Board was not, at this time, in favor of accepting this item and that this group (RES Workgroup) come back together and further define more clearly what the gaps were so it (the Board) could determine whether money needed to be spent on it.

Councilwoman Smith commented that from what she'd heard, it may be beneficial, depending on what had already been covered, to combine resources instead of each entity taking it on independently; and she asked, if the money for the study was placed in contingency, what would happen to it if the Board decided not to conduct the study – could it be taken out of the Budget or would it be left and the assessments would be lower next year? Trustee Brodsky also asked if it (the left-over contingency amount) could be rolled over into the next year.

Mr. James responded that this same situation came up last summer when \$38,000 had been identified in the SNRPC account, and the option was given to the Board to either have it redistributed back to the members according to their prorated share or keep it in the account and have it applied to next year's assessments; so the option was available to have any money refunded or left in the County Treasurer's account to be used toward future assessments. Mr. Warhola agreed.

A motion was made and seconded to continue this item to the next meeting so answers could be supplied and go back to the Budget and change the (corresponding) line item from an item of specificity to a contingency item. The motion was approved unanimously.

Agenda Item 8. Receive a report on the Performance Evaluation Form for the Regional Planner. Recommended action – Receive and approve the Regional Planner Evaluation Form.

Edward Probyn James, SNRPC Regional Planner, gave a report on the Performance Evaluation Form for the Regional Planner that the (SNRPC) Technical Committee had been instructed to develop and approve.

Trustee Denise Brodsky, Clark County School District, commented that she appreciates this, feels that it's something that will help the Board with future evaluations and is invaluable.

A motion was made and seconded to accept the Performance Evaluation Form for the Regional Planner. The motion was approved unanimously.

Agenda Item 10. Receive a recommendation to form a Regional Affordable/Attainable Housing Workgroup. Recommended action – Receive and approve the recommendation to form an SNRPC Affordable/Attainable Housing Workgroup.

Lesa Coder, Clark County, gave a presentation on a recommended Regional Affordable/Attainable Housing Workgroup. She stated that the first suggested component was the Coalition Board approving a regional strategy or plan with regular updates and status reports for input and direction; the second component would be an Affordable/Attainable Housing Committee, similar to the Committee on Homelessness, made up of City

and County Managers and/or Assistant Managers, relevant stakeholders within the industry, finance, non-profits, homebuilders, etc.; and the third component be a hands-on workgroup.

Mayor Oscar Goodman, City of Las Vegas, asked what was trying to be accomplished; and Ms. Coder responded this committee, if approved, would take the relevant strategies outlined by the Growth Task Force and review them, add to them if necessary and determine which would be suitable for regional implementation.

Mayor Robert Ferraro, City of Boulder City, asked for clarification of who was going to do this; and Ms. Coder responded that the “bulk of the work would be done at the lower level ranks”; and the City/County Managers and Assistant Managers would provide their technical assistance, direction and expertise; and the Coalition Board would make final decisions and give direction as they report back.

Mayor Ferraro asked when a report or preliminary report could be expected; and Ms. Coder responded that there was no specific deadline in place yet, but each of the entities was highly motivated to address these issues.

Mayor Goodman asked whether this was being done as a Coalition or as representation of each jurisdiction, and was the ultimate goal to have legislation prepared to address the issue of affordable housing or simply have a discussion leading to the conclusion that houses cost too much for people to buy; and Ms. Coder responded that some of the strategies may result in ordinances or legislative actions. Mayor Goodman commented that if that was the case he’d be in favor of it, but if it was just another discussion then he didn’t want to take his or his staff’s time.

Trustee Denise Brodsky, Clark County School District, asked whether this was going to be an ongoing committee of the Coalition Board or would it end at some point; and Ms. Coder responded that it was at the Board’s discretion, and if there was satisfaction that the goals and objectives had been accomplished then it could easily be dissolved at any point.

Mayor Ferraro suggested placing a progress report of the subcommittee on all future Board agendas, if this item was approved, and Trustee Brodsky agreed.

Ms. Coder recommended that for purposes of the Open Meeting Law, perhaps the committee and the Board could be notified concurrently so there wouldn’t need to be separate agendas.

Councilman Lawrence Weekly, City of Las Vegas, commented about the inclusion of representatives from the various housing authorities because of all the Section 8 homes that are now involved with this type of housing, as well as including the school district. Ms. Coder stated that they absolutely agree with including them.

A motion was made and seconded to approve the creation of the Affordable/Attainable Housing Committee and that regular progress reports be included as a standing agenda item on all future Coalition Board Agendas.

Trustee Brodsky asked what the next step was in moving forward with this committee; and Ms. Coder responded that the first meeting date had not been set yet, but the committee would call upon the City and County Managers to decide which industry members needed to be invited, including those mentioned today, make assignments for the working group and have a first progress report available at the July 28 Coalition Board meeting.

Commissioner Lynette Boggs McDonald, Clark County, agreed with Councilman Weekly about including the housing authorities in the discussions, especially since housing authorities have equal standing with municipalities as it relates to going to the BLM to reserve lands under the Public Lands Management Act for

affordable housing purposes. She also stated that HUD needed to be included as a partner in discussions; she didn't want attainable housing to focus *in any way* on the homelessness issues so the focus could remain on where those (the homelessness) studies and task forces left off; and she wanted to include home rentals in addition to home ownership as it relates to multi-family homes.

Mayor Goodman stated that, in addition to what Commissioner Boggs McDonald said, he wanted to include the problem of condo conversions from apartments and the resulting displacement of people.

Councilwoman Stephanie Smith, City of North Las Vegas, added that North Las Vegas has only recently been part of the discussion because for so long everything they had was within the affordable housing range, and she wanted to ensure that whatever was looked at didn't "lock every community into place" but instead compared to what they already had so it was based on the percentages of each community and not one percentage over the entire Valley.

Commissioner Boggs McDonald thought that a lot was going to be contingent on the fact that close to 90% of the land is owned by the federal government so much of the solution is going to lie in the access to those federal lands for this purpose, and the drive for the "where" is where the BLM land tracts are available.

The motion was amended to include a proposed Scope of Work for the Committee including: look at other groups needing to be represented (i.e. the Housing Authority, HUD and Clark County School District); a progress report be brought to the Coalition Board at each Agenda; look at issues relating to home owners and rental/multi-families; not focusing on the homeless; look at the impacts of the condo conversions and the displacement of families; and look at issues of land and land availability.

The amended motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

Agenda Item 11. Regional Planner's Report.

Trustee Denise Brodsky, Clark County School District, commented that she also very much appreciates the addition of this report over the past two months, and she's gotten some very positive feedback on having a report that allows the Board to understand the work and accomplishments of the Regional Planner.

Edward Probyn James, SNRPC Regional Planner, gave a report summarizing things that the Regional Planner has been involved in such as: attending the APA Conference, drafting the Open Space RFP and contract that the Board has already approved, LUTAQ, Upper Las Vegas Wash and BLM Task Force, etc. He recommended that with all the things going on in the Valley the Board go back to meeting monthly, with the regularly scheduled months for action items requiring the Board's voting and approval and with the "off" months for workshops and special sessions.

Mayor Robert Ferraro, City of Boulder City, agreed that the suggestion was excellent, and Trustee Brodsky clarified that the additional meetings would be on the fourth Thursday of the month.

A motion was made and seconded to schedule monthly meetings of the Coalition Board every fourth Thursday starting at 3:30 p.m. The motion was approved unanimously.

Trustee Brodsky reiterated that one meeting would be dedicated strictly to business and anything requiring a vote of the Board and asked if there was no quorum at the second meeting would it be able to continue; and Mr. James responded that if it didn't seem important to meet for that "off" month (i.e. if an issue wasn't there) then all staff would be notified that the meeting wasn't going to take place.

Mayor Ferraro suggested that Mr. James e-mail the proposed meeting schedule based on what was just decided, and Trustee Brodsky agreed that would be very appropriate.

Agenda Item 12. The next date and location for the SNRPC Board is tentatively scheduled for July 28, 2005, 3:30 p.m., at the Clark County School District, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Trustee Denise Brodsky, Chair, Clark County School District, stated that the next regular meeting was scheduled for July 28, 2005, at 3:30 p.m., at the Clark County School District, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Agenda Item 13. Public Comment.

There were no public comments.

Agenda Item 14. Adjournment.

A motion was made and seconded to adjourn the meeting. The motion was approved unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 4:46 p.m.